

## Summary of Training events 1<sup>st</sup> Feb. 2015- 31<sup>st</sup> Jan. 2016

### ***Type of Training***

One-to-one	75
Web	19
Onsite	12
Workshops	6

### ***Software Trained***

ADF, AMBER, CASTEP, GAUSSIAN, Jaguar, MacroModel, MOLPRO, NSCCS Machine, NWChem, TURBOMOLE

### ***Participants @ NSCCS events***

Trained by NSCCS trainer: 120

NSCCS workshops: 204

NSCCS user meeting: 56

### **From the following Universities:**

Institution	Number of Participants	%
Imperial College London	82	22.80
Oxford, University of	27	7.50
University College London	22	6.11
Bristol, University of	20	5.56
St. Andrews, University of	19	5.28
York, University of	18	5.00
Nottingham, University of	16	4.44
other	16	4.44
Glasgow, University of	12	3.33
Cambridge, University of	11	3.06
Newcastle University	11	3.06
Edinburgh, University of	10	2.78

Queen Mary, University of London	10	2.78
King's College London	8	2.22
Sussex, University of	7	1.94
Northumbria University	6	1.67
Southampton, University of	6	1.67
Bath, University of	5	1.39
Birkbeck, University of London	4	1.11
Heriot-Watt University	4	1.11
Manchester University	4	1.11
Sheffield, University of	4	1.11
Durham University	3	0.83
Greenwich, University of	3	0.83
Leicester, University of	3	0.83
Open University	3	0.83
Queen's University Belfast	3	0.83
Surrey, University of	3	0.83
Warwick, University of	3	0.83
Hertfordshire, University of	2	0.56
Huddersfield, University of	2	0.56
Kent, University of	2	0.56
Nottingham Trent University	2	0.56
Strathclyde, University of	2	0.56
Aston University	1	0.28
East Anglia, University of	1	0.28
Keele University	1	0.28
Leeds, University of	1	0.28

Lincoln, University of	1	0.28
Liverpool, University of	1	0.28
London Southbank University	1	0.28
<b>Total</b>	<b>360</b>	<b>100</b>

### User Satisfaction of Alex Simperler's training (58 forms)

Satisfaction level Questions	Score (Higher + Adequate)	Higher	Adequate	Lower
Overall quality?	100 %	79 %	21 %	0 %
Helpful to use the software?	93 %	59 %	34 %	7 %
Pace?	90 %	7 %	90 %	3 %
Clarity?	100%	67 %	33 %	0 %
Objectives?	98 %	98 %	0%	2 %
Tutor Quality?	100 %	91 %	9 %	0 %